

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/797,890 | 03/09/2004 | Gary M. Palmgren | 59474US002 | 5062 |
| 32692 | 7590 12/30/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| 3M INNOV | ATIVE PROPERTIES | EVERHART | EVERHART, CARIDAD | |
| | PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| SI.IAUL, W | 114 33133-3427 | | 2891 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | MK. | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/797,890 | PALMGREN ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Caridad M. Everhart | 2891 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with t | the correspondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA | TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| , <u></u> | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| · · · · · | Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| · |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-12 and 14-16</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | a/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached O | ffice Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| · | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b) Some * c) None of: | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr | riority documents have been red | ceived in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bure | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | • | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) LI Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M | mary (PTO-413) lail Date | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Infoп | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | 08) 5) Notice of Inform | mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Pape | r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6-14-05;12-2-05</u> . | 6) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/797,890 Page 2

Art Unit: 2891

Response to Arguments

Applicant has argued that Manens et al merely teaches the conditioning element of diamond or carbide which is typically used, which applicant has argued is not insulating, but rather held in a metal matrix. This argument is found to be persuasive with respect to the 102 rejection; however, a 103 rejection is made below with references which support the matrix of the conditioning tool being insulative.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,890

Art Unit: 2891

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manens, et al. (US 6,848,970B2) in view of Basol, et al. (US 2005/0145484 or of Goers (US 6,123,612).

Manens, et al discloses an electrical source (feature 190 in Fig. 1A) with an electrode formed by the pad carrier and a counter-electrode formed by the substrate(col. 7, lines 1-15). There is also a conditioning pad which is insulated from the electrodes because it is disclosed to be formed of diamond or silicon carbide which is patterned to be abrasive(col. 12, lines 17-28), which are dielectric materials which are therefore insulative. This would result in the abrasive surface being electrically isolated from the pad when the conditioning pad is contacting the pad. The system is for electrochemical polishing, as it is disclosed that the system can be for electrochemical dissolution(col. 7, lines 10-12), and as the system has a polishing pad and support which are connected to an electrical source, this electrochemical dissolution is electropolishing. The first and second electrodes may be the cathode and the

Application/Control Number: 10/797,890

Art Unit: 2891

anode(col. 7,lines 10-15). It is shown in Fig. 1B that the conditioning tool has a surface and a substrate 258. In Fig. 1B it is indicated that the conditioning disc rotates to condition the surface of the pad when in contact with the pad.

Manens is silent with respect to the matrix of the diamond or carbide conditioning tool.

Basol, et al discloses that the matrix of the conditioning tool is insulting if this is needed in the application in which it is being used(paragraph 0053).

Goers discloses that diamond or silicon carbide particles may be used in the matrix(col. 2, lines 35-48). Goers further discloses that the matrix may be polycarbonate(col. 6, lines 2-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention at the time of the invention to have used the matrix materials disclosed by Basol et al of by Goers in the invention disclosed by Manens et al because both Basol, et al and Goers disclose that insulating matrix can be used according to the need of the application to which the conditioning pad is to be used, and one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine that insulating matrix would be desirable in order to not erode the conditioning pad.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-12, 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,890

Art Unit: 2891

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 571-

272-1892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-

4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, B. Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Everhart 12-27-2005